

On Quantum Momentum Maps associated to non Ad^* -equivariant Classical Momentum Maps

M.E. Garcia * & M. Zuccalli*

* Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Nacional de La Plata,
Calle 50 esq. 115 (1900) La Plata, Argentina

July 28, 2009

Abstract

In an interesting work M.F. Müller-Bahns and N. Neumaier ("*Some remarks on $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant Fedosov star products and quantum momentum mappings*". Journal of Geometry and Physics 50 (2004), 257-272.) analyze the existence of a quantum momentum map based on the existence of a classical momentum map providing an answer to the proposal given by P. Xu in ("*Fedosov $*$ -products and quantum momentum maps*". Commun. Math. Phys (1998) 167-197). In both papers only equivariant classical momentum maps are considered.

In these notes, we extend Müller-Bahns and Neumaier analysis to the case of a non equivariant momentum map. In addition, we propose the notion of an anomalous quantum momentum map as an alternative to recover a non equivariant momentum map at the classical level by considering central extensions of the Lie algebra associated with non equivariance.

MSC2000 Subject Classification Numbers:37C80; 37J15; 53D20; 70G65

Keywords: Symmetries in mechanical systems; Classical momentum map; Deformation Quantization; Quantum momentum map.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Classical Momentum Maps	3
2.1	Ad^* -equivariant Classical Momentum Maps	3
2.2	Non Ad^* -equivariant Classical Momentum Maps	5
3	Deformation Quantization and Fedosov's construction	6
4	Quantum Momentum Map	9
5	Anomalous Quantum Momentum Maps	11
6	Quantum Momentum Map Associated to the Canonically Extended Classical Momentum Map	11
6.1	Canonical Extended Classical Momentum Map $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$	11
6.2	Quantum Momentum Map associated to $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$	13

1 Introduction

In the last years, numerous papers have considered the relation between classical and quantum symmetries in mechanical systems. The fundamental role that the momentum map plays in the analysis of classical mechanical systems with symmetries is well known. On the other hand, deformation quantization provides a mathematical framework for the problem of quantizing a classical mechanical system. In this context, the quantum momentum map plays the role of a quantum analogous to the classical momentum map.

In an interesting work [6], Xu has proved that a quantum momentum map always recovers an Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map. He also raised the question whether the existence of a classical momentum map guarantees the existence of a quantum momentum map that recovers it at the classical limit.

Some years ago, Müller-Bahns and Neumaier [5] have given a negative answer to this question and have established the necessary and sufficient conditions so that the existence of an Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map implies the existence of a quantum momentum map associated.

In both works, they only considered Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum maps. The aim of this paper is to generalize these kind of ideas in order to include a non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map that cannot be recovered at the classical limit of a quantum momentum map.

Our approach is based on the introduction of the notion of an anomalous quantum momentum map that recover a non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map at the classical limit. Although an anomalous quantum momentum map is not a Lie algebra homomorphism, we establish conditions that guarantees it can be considered as the restriction of one.

Then, we consider a classical mechanical system with a symmetry given by a Lie group G that admits a non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map $\mathbf{J}_0$. In order to recover $\mathbf{J}_0$, we define an anomalous quantum momentum map by two ways.

In the first place, we consider $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, the central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$, the Lie algebra of G , defined by the 2-cocycle that measures the non Ad^* -equivariance of $\mathbf{J}_0$. We define $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$ as the canonically extended classical momentum map associated to the symmetry of the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Following [5] we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a quantum momentum map $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ that recovers $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$. It is clear that $\mathbf{J}_0$ can be recovered at the classical limit of the restriction of $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ that results an anomalous quantum momentum map.

Furthermore, we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an anomalous quantum momentum map $\mathbf{J}$ whose classical limit is $\mathbf{J}_0$. It is clear that $\mathbf{J}$ is not a Lie algebra homomorphism. The 2-cocycle defined by $\mathbf{J}$ gives rise to a central extension $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$, the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of the formal power series in the parameter $\hbar$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$. We consider $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ the quantum momentum map that canonically extends $\mathbf{J}$.

The present paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the definitions of an Ad^* -equivariant and a non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map. Also we recall some basic facts on Lie algebra extensions. In section 3 we give a very short review of the deformation quantization and Fedosov's construction. In section 4 we recall the definition of a quantum momentum map and we collect some of the results proved in [5].

In section 5 we propose the notion of a anomalous quantum momentum map. In section 6 we consider the case of non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map and its canonically extended classical momentum map that results equivariant. Also we analyze the quantum momentum map associated to this equivariant momentum map. Finally, in section 7, we study a canonical extended anomalous quantum momentum map.

2 Classical Momentum Maps

2.1 Ad^* -equivariant Classical Momentum Maps

The momentum map plays a fundamental role in the analysis of classical mechanical systems with symmetries. In this section, we recall its definition and some of its important properties.

Let us consider a symplectic manifold (M, ω) and $C^\infty(M)$, the linear space of the differentiable functions on M with values in $\mathbb{R}$. It is well known that $C^\infty(M)$ admits a canonical structure of Lie algebra associated to the form ω given by the Poisson bracket defined as follows. Let X_f be the Hamiltonian vector field of f given by the condition $i_{X_f}\omega = df$ with i_X the contraction of the 2-form ω by X and d the exterior differential operator on M . If f and $g \in C^\infty(M)$ then $\{f, g\} = \omega(X_f, X_g)$.

The adjoint representation ad_∞ of $C^\infty(M)$ on itself is given by $(ad_\infty)_f(\cdot) = \{f, \cdot\}$.

We consider a symplectic left action of a Lie group G on M . That is, there exists a differential application $\phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ such that $\phi_g^*\omega = \omega \quad \forall g \in G$, where ϕ_g^* is the pull-back of the diffeomorphism $\phi_g : M \rightarrow M$ given by $\phi_g(m) = \phi(g, m)$.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of the group G , X_ξ denotes the infinitesimal generator associated to the action ϕ corresponding to $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ denotes the dual space of $\mathfrak{g}$.

An action of G on M canonically induces a representation ρ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ defined as $\rho(\xi)(f) = -L_{X_\xi}f$, where L denotes the Lie derivative. Under such action, $C^\infty(M)$ becomes a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. In general, $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on all differential forms on M in the same way; that is $\rho(\xi)(\alpha) = -L_{X_\xi}\alpha$.

Definition 2.1 *A differential function $J_0 : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a classical momentum map for the action ϕ of G on M if*

$$\langle J_0(m), \xi \rangle = \mathbf{J}_0(\xi)(m) \quad \forall m \in M \text{ and } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$$

where $\mathbf{J}_0(\xi) \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfies that $d\mathbf{J}_0(\xi) = i_{X_\xi}\omega \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Thus, a momentum map can be considered as an application $\mathbf{J}_0 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ such that $X_{\mathbf{J}_0(\xi)} = X_\xi \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 2.2 If $\mathbf{J}_0$ is a momentum map for the action ϕ then

$$\{\mathbf{J}_0(\xi), f\} = \omega(X_\xi, X_f) = \rho(\xi)(f) = (ad_\infty)_{\mathbf{J}_0(\xi)}(f) \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M)$$

In order to consider the cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$ and other cohomologies derived from it, we recall the definition of the cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V .

Given a linear space V and ρ a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ in V , let us consider $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ the space of alternate k -multilinear maps α on $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in V . The Chevalley-Eilenberg coboundary operator associated $\delta_\rho : C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\rho(\alpha)(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho(\xi_i) \left(\alpha(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \hat{\xi}_i, \dots, \xi_{k+1}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} \alpha([\xi_i, \xi_j], \xi_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_i, \dots, \hat{\xi}_j, \dots, \xi_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol $\hat{}$ means that the variable under it has been deleted.

An element $\alpha \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is a k -cocycle if $\delta_\rho(\alpha) = 0$ and it is a k -coboundary if there exists an element $\beta \in C^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ such that $\delta_\rho(\beta) = \alpha$.

If $Z_\rho^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is the space of the k -cocycles and $B_\rho^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is the space of the k -coboundaries,

$$H_\rho^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) = Z_\rho^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) / B_\rho^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$$

is the k -group of the cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in V .

Remark 2.3 Let us notice that $\mathbf{J}_0 \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))$ where ρ is the representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ as defined above.

In order to define an Ad^* -equivariant momentum map, we recall that the adjoint action Ad of G on $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by $Ad_g \xi = (dI_g)_e(\xi)$ with $g \in G$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, where I_g denotes the inner diffeomorphisms of G . Then, the coadjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined as $(Ad_g^* \eta) \xi = \eta(Ad_g \xi)$ with $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Definition 2.4 A classical momentum map J_0 is Ad^* -equivariant if

$$J_0(\phi_g(m)) = Ad_{g^{-1}}^* J_0(m) \quad \forall g \in G.$$

That is, J_0 is Ad^* -equivariant if the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\phi_g} & M \\ J_0 \downarrow & & J_0 \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g}^* & \xrightarrow{Ad_{g^{-1}}^*} & \mathfrak{g}^* \end{array}$$

Remark 2.5 It is easy to see that if J_0 is Ad^* -equivariant then $\mathbf{J}_0$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. That is, $\mathbf{J}_0([\xi, \eta]) = \{\mathbf{J}_0(\xi), \mathbf{J}_0(\eta)\}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$.

In the following subsections and sections, central extensions of the Lie algebra of the symmetry group will need to be considered. Now we shall provide the definition of the extension of a Lie algebra and some of its important properties.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra and V is an $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, an extension $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ by V is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is identified with $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V$.

It is well known that the extensions of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by an $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V are parametrized by the second cohomology group $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, V)$. Given $\Theta \in H^2(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, the Lie commutator on $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined as

$$[(a, v), (b, w)] = ([a, b], a \cdot v - b \cdot w + \Theta(a, b)) \quad \forall a, b \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } v, w \in V.$$

If the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on V is trivial, the extension $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is called central and its bracket is given by $[(a, v), (b, w)] = ([a, b], \Theta(a, b))$.

2.2 Non Ad^* -equivariant Classical Momentum Maps

In this subsection, we will consider the general case of the classical mechanical systems with symmetry that admits a non Ad^* -equivariant momentum map $J_0 : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. For details consult [1] and [4].

For $g \in G$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ we can consider the application $\psi_{g, \xi} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\psi_{g, \xi}(m) = \mathbf{J}_0(\xi)(\phi_g(m)) - \mathbf{J}_0(Ad_{g^{-1}}\xi)(m).$$

It is easy to see that this function is constant on M . Then, we can define the map that measures the lack of Ad^* -equivariance of the momentum $\mathbf{J}_0$.

Definition 2.6 Let us define $\sigma : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ as the application given by $\langle \sigma(g), \xi \rangle = \psi_{g, \xi}$.

That is, $\sigma(g) = J_0(\phi_g(m)) - Ad_g^*(J_0(m))$ for some $m \in M$.

Remark 2.7 The map σ satisfies $\sigma(gh) = \sigma(g) + Ad^*\sigma(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$. Then, σ is a 1-cocycle on G with values in $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

It is clear that J_0 is Ad^* -equivariant if and only if its associated cocycle σ is trivial cohomologically.

The cocycle σ gives rise to a 2-cocycle on $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.8 Let us consider the application $\Sigma : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\Sigma(\xi, \eta) = \langle d\hat{\sigma}_\eta(e), \xi \rangle$, where $\hat{\sigma}_\eta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as $\hat{\sigma}_\eta(g) = \langle \sigma(g), \eta \rangle$.

Remark 2.9 That Σ is a skew-symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying Jacobi's identity can be verified. If the trivial action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is considered, this last condition says that Σ is a 2-cocycle on $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}$ associated to the trivial action.

In addition, we can see that

$$\Sigma(\xi, \eta) = \{\mathbf{J}_0(\xi), \mathbf{J}_0(\eta)\} - \mathbf{J}_0([\xi, \eta]).$$

A 2-cocycle is called exact if there exists $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $\Sigma(\xi, \zeta) = \mu([\xi, \zeta])$. Then, is clear that $\mathbf{J}_0$ is Ad^* -equivariant if and only if its associated 2-cocycle Σ is trivial.

Thus, a non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map $\mathbf{J}_0$ canonically defines a central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to the 2-cocycle Σ , which we will denote $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. This central extension will be considered in section 6.

3 Deformation Quantization and Fedosov's construction

In classical mechanics, phase spaces can be represented by symplectic manifold M and classical observables are elements in the Poisson algebra $C^\infty(M)$. In quantum mechanics, observables form a noncommutative associative algebra.

Deformation quantization provides a mathematical framework for the problem of quantizing classical mechanical systems. Its goal is to construct and analyze noncommutative algebras corresponding to Poisson algebras by means of formal deformations depending on the Plank constant $\hbar$ as parameter [2].

To this end, start products that deform the point products of the differential functions in the direction of a given bracket can be constructed.

Now, let us consider a symplectic manifold (M, ω) and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ the Lie algebra structure on $C^\infty(M)$ canonically associated to ω .

Definition 3.1 $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ is the vector space of formal power series with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$. That is,

$$C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] = \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} f_r \hbar^r : f_r \in C^\infty(M) \forall r \geq 0 \right\}.$$

In general, the space $V[[\hbar]]$ can be consider for any vector space V ,

$$V[[\hbar]] = \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} v_r \hbar^r : v_r \in V \forall r \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Definition 3.2 A deformation quantization of $C^\infty(M)$ or a start product $\star$, is an associative algebra structure on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ of the form

$$f \star g = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_r(f, g) \hbar^r \quad \forall f \text{ and } g \in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]],$$

where

1. $C_0(f, g) = f \cdot g$ (pointwise multiplication);
2. $C_1(f, g) = -\frac{i}{2}\{f, g\}$
3. $C_r(f, g) = (-1)^r C_r(g, f)$;
4. $C_r(1, f) = C_r(f, 1)$, for $r \geq 1$;
5. each $C_r(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a bidifferential operator.

Then,

$$f \star g = f \cdot g - \frac{i\hbar}{2}\{f, g\} + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} C_r(f, g) \hbar^r \quad \forall f \text{ and } g \in C^\infty(M).$$

Remark 3.3 A start product $\star$ on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ gives it a Lie algebra structure by means of the bracket $[f, g]_\star = f \star g - g \star f$. The adjoint representation $(ad_\star)$ of $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ on itself is given by $(ad_\star)_\gamma(\cdot) = [\gamma, \cdot]_\star$.

Definition 3.4 A derivation of a $\star$ -algebra $(C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star)$ is a formal power series of $\hbar$ with coefficients being linear operators on $C^\infty(M)$, written as $\delta = D_0 + \hbar D_1 + \dots + \hbar^i D_i + \dots$, such that

$$\delta(f \star g) = \delta f \star g + f \star \delta g \quad \forall f \text{ and } g \in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]].$$

A derivation is said to be inner if $\delta(\cdot) = \frac{i}{\hbar}(ad_\star)_H(\cdot) = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \cdot]_\star$ for some $H \in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$.

Example 3.5 A star product always exists on a symplectic vector space V , which is known as the Moyal-Weyl formula

$$f \circ g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \pi^{i_1 j_1} \dots \pi^{i_k j_k} \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial y^{i_1} \dots \partial y^{i_k}} \frac{\partial^k g}{\partial y^{j_1} \dots \partial y^{j_k}} \quad \forall f \text{ and } g \in C^\infty(V)[[\hbar]],$$

where $y^1, \dots, y^{2n}$ are linear coordinates on V and $\pi^{ij} = \{y^i, y^j\}$.

The existence proof of star products on a general symplectic manifold was first obtained by Wilde and Lecomte [3] using a homological argument.

Now, we recall some basic ingredients of Fedosov construction of $\star$ -products on a symplectic manifold; consult [6] and [5] for details.

Let us consider

$$W \otimes \Lambda = \left(\prod_{s=0}^{\infty} \Gamma^\infty(\vee^s T^*M \otimes \wedge T^*M) \right) [[\hbar]],$$

where $\Gamma^\infty(P)$ denotes the space of sections of a vector bundle P on M . $W \otimes \Lambda$ becomes in a natural way an associative super-commutative algebra and the product is denoted by $\mu(a \otimes b) = ab$ for a and $b \in W \otimes \Lambda$. By $W \otimes \Lambda^k$ we denote the elements of anti-symmetric degree k and $W = W \otimes \Lambda^0$.

Let be $i_s(Y)$ and $i_a(Y)$ the symmetric and the anti-symmetric insertion of a vector field $Y \in \Gamma^\infty(TM)$, respectively.

Then, the pointwise product the Poisson tensor Λ corresponding to ω gives rise to another associative product $\circ$ on $W \otimes \Lambda$ by

$$a \circ b = \mu \circ \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \hbar \Lambda^{ij} i_s(\partial_i) \otimes i_s(\partial_j) \right) (a \otimes b),$$

which is a deformation of μ .

Sometimes we write $W_k \otimes \Lambda$ to denote the elements of total degree $\geq k$.

In local coordinates they define the differential $\delta = (1 \otimes dx^i)_{i_s}(\partial_i)$ which satisfies $\delta^2 = 0$ and is a super-derivation of $\circ$. There is a homotopy operator δ^{-1} satisfying $\delta\delta^{-1} + \delta^{-1}\delta + \sigma = id$ where $\sigma : W \otimes \Lambda \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ denotes the projection onto the part of symmetric and anti-symmetric degree 0 and $\delta^{-1}a = \frac{1}{k+l}(dx \otimes 1)i_a(\partial_i)a$ for $deg_s a = ka$, $deg_a a = la$ with $k+l \neq 0$ and $\delta^{-1}a = 0$, where deg_s and deg_a are de obvious degree maps.

From a torsion free symplectic connection ∇ on M we obtain a derivation of $\circ$

$$\nabla = (1 \otimes dx^i) \nabla_{\partial_i}$$

that satisfies the following identities

$$[\delta, \nabla] = 0, \quad \nabla^2 = -\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}\right)ad(R)$$

where $R = \frac{1}{4}\omega_{it}R_{jkl}^tdx^i \vee dx^j \otimes dx^k \wedge dx^l \in W \otimes \wedge^2$ involves the curvature of the connection.

Now remember the following facts which are just restatements of Fedosov's original theorems.

For all $\Omega \in \hbar Z_{dR}^2(M)[[\hbar]]$ and all $s \in W_3$ with $\sigma(s) = 0$ there exists a unique element $r \in W_2 \otimes \Lambda^1$ such that

$$\delta r = \nabla r - \frac{1}{\hbar}r \circ r + R + 1 \otimes \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^{-1}r = s. \quad (1)$$

Moreover r satisfies the formula $r = \delta s + \delta^{-1}(\nabla r - \frac{1}{\hbar}r \circ r + R + 1 \otimes \Omega)$ from which r can be determined recursively. In this case the Fedosov derivation

$$D = -\delta + \nabla - \frac{1}{\hbar}ad_r$$

is a super-derivation of anti-symmetric degree 1 and $D^2 = 0$. The connection D defined above is a Fedosov connection corresponding to (∇, Ω, s) .

For any $f \in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ there exists a unique element $\tau(f) \in \ker(D) \cap W$ such that $\sigma(\tau(f)) = f$ and $\tau : C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] \longrightarrow \ker(D) \cap W$ is $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -linear and it is referred to as the Fedosov-Taylor series corresponding to D . In addition $\tau(f)$ can be obtained recursively for $f \in C^\infty(M)$ from

$$\tau(f) = f + \delta^{-1} \left(\nabla \tau(f) - \frac{1}{\hbar}ad_r \tau(f) \right).$$

Using D^{-1} one can also write $\tau(f) = f - D^{-1}(1 \otimes df)$. D constructed as above is a $\circ$ -super-derivation, $\ker(D) \cap W$ is a $\circ$ -sub-algebra and a new associative product $\star$ for $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$, which turns out to be a star product, is defined by pull-back of $\circ$ via τ .

Observe that in (1) we allowed for an arbitrary element $s \in W$ with $\sigma(s) = 0$ that contains no term of total degree lower than 3 as normalization condition for r , i.e. $\sigma^{-1}r = s$ instead of the usual equation $\delta^{-1}r = 0$.

In the following we shall refer to the associative product $\star$ defined above as the Fedosov star product corresponding to (∇, Ω, s) .

Besides, as an important consequence of some propositions ([5]) they get the following consequence.

For every Fedosov star product $\star$ obtained from (∇, Ω, s) with $s \in W_3$ there is a connection ∇' , a formal series Ω' of closed two-forms and an element $s' \in W_4$ without terms of symmetric degree 1 such that the star product obtained from (∇', Ω', s') coincides with $\star$, and hence we may without loss of generality restrict to such normalization conditions when varying the connection and the formal series of closed two-forms arbitrarily.

Now, let us consider, as in [5], a generalized Fedosov's $\star$ product on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) stemming from a Weyl product $\circ$ and a triad (∇, Ω, s) constituted by a flat torsion free symplectic connection ∇ on M , a 2-form formal series Ω on M and a symmetrical contravariant tensors formal series $s \in W_4$ on M contains no part of symmetric degree 1.

As in the previous section, we consider a Lie group G that symplectically acts in (M, ω) . The action ρ of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ defined above can be naturally extended to an action ρ_c of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ in the following way

$$\rho_c(\xi) \left(\sum_{r \geq 0} f_r \hbar^r \right) = - \sum_{r \geq 0} (L_{X_\xi} f_r) \hbar^r.$$

Thus, $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ becomes in a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

We shall consider the cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$. Its coboundary operator

$$\delta_{\rho_c} : C^k(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

is defined as in the previous section.

Definition 3.6 *A star product $\star$ is called $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant in case $\rho_c(\xi)$ is a derivation of $\star$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. That is,*

$$\rho_c(\xi)(f \star g) = (\rho_c(\xi)(f) \star g) + (f \star \rho_c(\xi)(g)) \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] \text{ and } \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

On [5], the following characterization of these products can be found.

Proposition 3.7 *The Fedosov star product $\star$ constructed from (∇, Ω, s) , where $s \in W_4$ contains no part of symmetric degree 1, is $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant if and only if X_ξ is affine with respect to ∇ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, that is*

$$[\nabla, L_{X_\xi}] = 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$$

and Ω and s are invariant with respect to X_ξ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, that is

$$di_{X_\xi} \Omega = L_{X_\xi} \Omega = 0 = L_{X_\xi} s \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

In the next section these star products will be considered.

4 Quantum Momentum Map

Now, we shall recall the definition of a quantum momentum map and some of its properties given in [5].

Definition 4.1 *Given $\star$ a $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant star product, $\mathbf{J} \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]])$ is called quantum Hamiltonian for the action ρ_c if*

$$\rho_c(\xi)(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\hbar} (ad_\star)_{\mathbf{J}(\xi)}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\hbar} [\mathbf{J}(\xi), \cdot]_\star \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2)$$

That is, $\rho_c(\xi)$ is an inner derivation for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

A quantum Hamiltonian $\mathbf{J}$ is a quantum momentum map if $\mathbf{J} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow (C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \frac{1}{\hbar}[\cdot, \cdot]_\star)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. That is,

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} (\mathbf{J}(\xi) \star \mathbf{J}(\eta) - \mathbf{J}(\eta) \star \mathbf{J}(\xi)) = \mathbf{J}([\xi, \eta]) \quad \forall \xi \text{ and } \eta \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (3)$$

Remark 4.2 Notice that $\mathbf{J}$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_0 + \mathbf{J}_+ \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{J}_0 \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{J}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]].$$

It is very simple to see that the zeroth order in $\hbar$ of (2) is equivalent to $\mathbf{J}_0$ being a classical momentum map and that the zeroth order in $\hbar$ of (3) just means Ad^* -equivariance of this classical momentum map with respect to the coadjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Thus, it is clear that a quantum momentum map always gives rise to an Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map when the deformation parameter $\hbar$ tends to 0.

In the first place, we consider the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a quantum Hamiltonian that have been proven in [5].

Proposition 4.3 *A $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant Fedosov star product for (M, ω) obtained from (∇, Ω, s) admits a quantum Hamiltonian if and only if there is an element $\mathbf{J} \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ such that*

$$d\mathbf{J}(\xi) = i_{X_\xi}(\omega + \Omega) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

In order to establish the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a quantum momentum map in [5] the following result has been proven.

Proposition 4.4 *Let $\mathbf{J}$ be a quantum Hamiltonian for the Fedosov star product $\star$. Then,*

$$\lambda \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]] \quad \text{defined by} \quad \lambda(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\hbar} (\mathbf{J}(\xi) \star \mathbf{J}(\eta) - \mathbf{J}(\eta) \star \mathbf{J}(\xi)) - \mathbf{J}([\xi, \eta])$$

is an element of $Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})[[\hbar]]$ which is explicitly given by

$$\lambda(\xi, \eta) = (\omega + \Omega)(X_\xi, X_\eta) - \mathbf{J}([\xi, \eta]).$$

Corollary 4.5 *Let $\star$ be a $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant Fedosov star product and let us assume that there is an Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map $\mathbf{J}_0$. Then, there exists $\mathbf{J} \in (\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ quantum momentum map that recovers $\mathbf{J}_0$ as its classical limit if and only if there exists $\mathbf{J}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ such that*

$$i_{X_\xi} \Omega = d\mathbf{J}_+(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$$

and

$$\Omega(X_\xi, X_\eta) = (\delta_{\rho_c} \mathbf{J}_+)(\xi, \eta) \quad \forall \xi \text{ and } \eta \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Remark 4.6 In this case $\mathbf{J}$ can be written as $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_0 + \mathbf{J}_+$.

Let us observe that $\Omega(X_\xi, X_\eta) = (\delta_{\rho_c} \mathbf{J}_+)(\xi, \eta)$ can be written as

$$\Omega(X_\xi, X_\eta) = -L_{X_\xi} \mathbf{J}_+(\eta) + L_{X_\eta} \mathbf{J}_+(\xi) - \mathbf{J}_+([\xi, \eta]).$$

5 Anomalous Quantum Momentum Maps

As we said in remark 4.2, the condition (2) of the definition of a quantum momentum map describes the condition for a quantum counterpart of a classical momentum map. Meanwhile, the condition (3) corresponds to the property of Ad^* -equivariance of a classical momentum map.

Let us notice that a non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map cannot be considered as the classical limit of a quantum momentum map but can be recovered from a quantum Hamiltonian. Thus, a quantum Hamiltonian $\mathbf{J} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow (C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \frac{1}{\hbar}[\cdot, \cdot]_\star)$ such that is not a Lie algebra homomorphism can be considered as the quantum counterpart of a non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map.

Definition 5.1 *An anomalous quantum momentum map is a quantum Hamiltonian $\mathbf{J} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow (C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \frac{1}{\hbar}[\cdot, \cdot]_\star)$ such that is not a Lie algebra homomorphism.*

Then, if $\mathbf{J}$ is an anomalous quantum momentum map, the condition

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} (\mathbf{J}(\xi) \star \mathbf{J}(\eta) - \mathbf{J}(\eta) \star \mathbf{J}(\xi)) = \mathbf{J}([\xi, \eta])$$

is not fulfilled. That is the 2-cocycle

$$\lambda(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\hbar} (\mathbf{J}(\xi) \star \mathbf{J}(\eta) - \mathbf{J}(\eta) \star \mathbf{J}(\xi)) - \mathbf{J}([\xi, \eta])$$

results not null.

Remark 5.2 It is obvious that an anomalous quantum momentum map is a quantum momentum map if and only if the 2-cocycle $\lambda \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})[[\hbar]]$ is trivial. In this case, the anomalous quantum momentum map recovers an Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map.

Let us consider a non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map $\mathbf{J}_0$. As a consequence of Corollary 4.5 it is easy to characterize the existence of an anomalous quantum momentum map that recovers $\mathbf{J}_0$.

Proposition 5.3 *There exists $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_0 + \mathbf{J}_+$ an anomalous quantum momentum map if and only if there exists $\mathbf{J}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ such that $i_{X_\xi} \Omega = d\mathbf{J}_+(\xi)$.*

In the following sections, we shall define two quantum momentum maps on different central extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$. We shall see their restrictions on $\mathfrak{g}$ are anomalous quantum momentum maps that recover the non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map $\mathbf{J}_0$.

6 Quantum Momentum Map Associated to the Canonically Extended Classical Momentum Map

6.1 Canonical Extended Classical Momentum Map $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$

As we remark in the Subsection 2.2, in the case of a symmetry with a non Ad^* -equivariant momentum map $\mathbf{J}_0$, there exists a canonical central extension of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ given by the cocycle that measures the non Ad^* -equivariance of the momentum map.

Definition 6.1 Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ the central extension of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to the 2-cocycle Σ . Then, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^* = \mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

The Lie commutator on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by

$$[(\xi, a), (\eta, b)] = ([\xi, \eta], \Sigma(\xi, \eta)) \quad \forall (\xi, a) \text{ and } (\eta, b) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$$

and the evaluation of an element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined as

$$\langle (\alpha, x), (\xi, a) \rangle = \alpha(\xi) + x a \quad \forall (\alpha, x) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^* \text{ and } (\xi, a) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$$

Remark 6.2 We shall assume that the extension $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponds to an extension $\tilde{G}$ of the Lie group G .

Let us consider the trivially extended action of $\tilde{G}$ on M , $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{G} \times M \rightarrow M$ defined as

$$\tilde{\phi}_{(g,A)}(m) = \phi_g(m) \quad \forall (g, A) \in \tilde{G} \text{ and } m \in M.$$

Then the infinitesimal generator $\tilde{X}_{(\xi,a)}$ associated to $(\xi, a) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ coincides with the infinitesimal generator $X_\xi \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

In a canonical way, we can define a representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ in $C^\infty(M)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \times C^\infty(M) &\rightarrow C^\infty(M) \\ \tilde{\rho}(\xi, a)(f) &= -L_{\tilde{X}_{(\xi,a)}} f \end{aligned}$$

and its associated coboundary operator $\delta_{\tilde{\rho}}$.

From the non Ad^* -equivariant momentum map we can define a momentum map that results Ad^* -equivariant with respect to an extended coadjoint action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ defined as

$$\tilde{Ad}_{(g,A)}^*(\alpha, x) = (Ad_g^* \alpha + \sigma(g), x) \quad \forall (\alpha, x) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*.$$

Let us consider the applications

- $\tilde{J}_0 : M \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ given by $\tilde{J}_0(m) = (J_0(m), 1)$ and
- $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0 : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ defined as $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0(\xi, a)(m) = \mathbf{J}_0(\xi)(m) + a$

Its easy to see that $d\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0(\xi, a) = i_{\tilde{X}_{(\xi,a)}} \omega$. Thus, we can define an extended classical momentum map as follows.

Definition 6.3 The applications $\tilde{J}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$ are called extended classical momentum maps associated to the action $\tilde{\phi}$ of $\tilde{G}$ on M .

It is clear that $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0 \in C^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, C^\infty(M))$.

Next we shall see that this extended momentum map $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$ is $\tilde{Ad}^*$ -equivariant.

Proposition 6.4 $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0 : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0(\xi, a), \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0(\eta, b)\} &= \{\mathbf{J}_0(\xi) + a, \mathbf{J}_0(\eta) + b\} \\
&= \{\mathbf{J}_0(\xi), \mathbf{J}_0(\eta)\} + \{\mathbf{J}_0(\xi), b\} + \{a, \mathbf{J}_0(\eta)\} + \{a, b\} \\
&= \mathbf{J}_0([\xi, \eta]) + \Sigma(\xi, \eta) \\
&= \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0([\xi, \eta], \Sigma(\xi, \eta)) \\
&= \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0([\xi, a], [\eta, b]),
\end{aligned}$$

for all (ξ, a) and $(\eta, b) \in \tilde{\mathbf{g}}$. $\triangle$

6.2 Quantum Momentum Map associated to $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$

According to [5], we shall study the existence of a quantum momentum map associated to the extended classical momentum map $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$.

In the first place, let us notice that the representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ can be canonically extended to the space $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ as follows. Let $\tilde{\rho}_c : \tilde{\mathbf{g}} \times C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] \rightarrow C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ be given by

$$\tilde{\rho}_c(\xi, a) \left(\sum_{r \geq 0} f_r \hbar^r \right) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \tilde{\rho}(\xi, a)(f_r) \hbar^r = \sum_{r \geq 0} \rho(\xi)(f_r) \hbar^r.$$

We denote as $\delta_{\tilde{\rho}_c}$ its coboundary operator associated.

In order to assign a quantum momentum map to $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$, we shall consider a $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ -invariant Fedosov star product. It is easy to verify that this is equivalent to considering a $\mathbf{g}$ -invariant Fedosov star product.

Proposition 6.5 *A Fedosov star product $\star$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ -invariant if and only if it is a $\mathbf{g}$ -invariant Fedosov star product.*

Proof.

A Fedosov star product $\star$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ -invariant if and only if

$$\tilde{\rho}_c(\xi, a)(f \star g) = (\tilde{\rho}_c(\xi, a)(f)) \star g + f \star (\tilde{\rho}_c(\xi, a)(g)).$$

Since $\tilde{\rho}_c(\xi, a)(f) = -L_{\tilde{X}_{(\xi, a)}} f = -L_{X_\xi} f = \rho_c(\xi)(f)$, then the above condition is equivalent to $\rho(\xi)(f \star g) = (\rho(\xi)(f) \star g) + (f \star \rho(\xi)(g))$. That is, $\star$ is $\mathbf{g}$ -invariant. $\triangle$.

Now, let us consider $\star$ a $\mathbf{g}$ -invariant Fedosov star product defined from (∇, Ω, s) as has been described in section 3.

Following [5] we consider a quantum momentum map corresponding to the representation $\tilde{\rho}_c$ and establish the necessary and sufficient conditions for its existence.

Remark 6.6 The application $\tilde{\mathbf{J}} = \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0 + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+ : \tilde{\mathbf{g}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ with $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0 \in C^1(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, C^\infty(M))$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ is a quantum momentum map for $\tilde{\rho}_c$ if and only if

$$1. \tilde{\rho}_c(\xi, a)(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \text{ad}_\star(\tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\xi, a))(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\xi, a), \cdot]_\star \quad \forall (\xi, a) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$$

$$2. \tilde{\mathbf{J}}([\xi, a], [\eta, b]) = \frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\xi, a), \tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\eta, b)]_\star \quad \forall (\xi, a) \text{ and } (\eta, b) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

From the corollary (4.5) we can establish the following result.

Corollary 6.7 $\tilde{\mathbf{J}} = \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0 + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+ : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ is a quantum momentum map for $\tilde{\rho}_c$ if and only if there exists $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]])$ such that

$$i_{\tilde{X}_{(\xi, a)}} \Omega = d\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi, a)$$

and

$$\Omega(\tilde{X}_{(\xi, a)}, \tilde{X}_{(\eta, b)}) = (\delta_{\tilde{\rho}_c} \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+)_{((\xi, a), (\eta, b))}.$$

Thus, we can recover $\mathbf{J}_0$ as the classical limit of the restriction of $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ to $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 6.8 $\check{\mathbf{J}} = \tilde{\mathbf{J}}|_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \{0\}}$ is an anomalous quantum momentum map that recovers the non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map $\mathbf{J}_0$.

Proof.

It is clear that $\check{\mathbf{J}}$ is a quantum Hamiltonian,

$$\rho_c(\xi)(\cdot) = \tilde{\rho}_c(\xi, 0)(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\hbar} [\tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\xi, 0), \cdot]_\star = \frac{1}{\hbar} [\check{\mathbf{J}}(\xi), \cdot]_\star. \quad (4)$$

Also we can see that $\check{\mathbf{J}}$ is not a Lie algebra homomorphisms,

$$[\check{\mathbf{J}}(\xi), \check{\mathbf{J}}(\eta)]_\star = [\tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\xi, 0), \tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\eta, 0)]_\star = \tilde{\mathbf{J}}([\xi, \eta]) = \tilde{\mathbf{J}}([\xi, \eta], \Sigma(\xi, \eta)),$$

and

$$\check{\mathbf{J}}([\xi, \eta]) = \tilde{\mathbf{J}}([\xi, \eta], 0).$$

Then $\check{\mathbf{J}}$ is a quantum anomalous momentum map.

On the other hand,

$$\check{\mathbf{J}}(\xi) = \tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\xi, 0) = \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0(\xi, 0) + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi, 0) = \mathbf{J}_0(\xi) + 0 + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi, 0).$$

Then it is clear that $\check{\mathbf{J}}$ recovers $\mathbf{J}_0$ when $\hbar$ tends to zero. $\triangle$

Remark 6.9 Let us notice that $\mathbf{J}_0$ can be recovered as classical limit of the restriction of $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if there exists $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]])$ such that verifies the conditions of Corollary (6.7).

7 Canonical Extended Anomalous Quantum Momentum Maps

Given a non Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map $\mathbf{J}_0$, as we have established in Proposition 5.3, there exists an anomalous quantum momentum map $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_0 + \mathbf{J}_+$ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{J}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ such that $i_{X_\xi} \Omega = d\mathbf{J}_+(\xi)$.

Let us assume that such $\mathbf{J}$ there exists. In order to define a quantum momentum map on a central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ whose restriction to $\mathfrak{g}$ coincide with $\mathbf{J}$, we analyze the 2-cocycle $\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ given by

$$\lambda(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\hbar} [\mathbf{J}(\xi), \mathbf{J}(\eta)]_\star - \mathbf{J}([\xi, \eta]).$$

Notice that λ can be written as $\lambda(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \lambda_r(\xi, \eta) \hbar^r$, where $\lambda_r \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $\hbar$ tends to zero, we obtain that $\lambda_0(\xi, \eta) = \{\mathbf{J}_0(\xi), \mathbf{J}_0(\eta)\} - \mathbf{J}_0([\xi, \eta]) = \Sigma(\xi, \eta)$.

It is clear that $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ becomes a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module by the trivial action. Thus, we can consider the central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ associated to the 2-cocycle λ .

Then, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ and the bracket in $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by

$$\left[\left(\xi, \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r \right), \left(\eta, \sum_{r \geq 0} y_r \hbar^r \right) \right] = ([\xi, \eta], \lambda(\xi, \eta))$$

where $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r$ and $\sum_{r \geq 0} y_r \hbar^r \in \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$.

As in the case of the central extension $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts trivially in the extension component on $C^\infty(M)$ and this action is canonically extended on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$. That is, $\widehat{\rho} : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is defined as

$$\widehat{\rho} \left(\xi, \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r \right) (f) = -L_{X_\xi} f,$$

and $\widehat{\rho}_c : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \times C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] \rightarrow C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ is given by

$$\widehat{\rho}_c \left(\xi, \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r \right) \left(\sum_{r \geq 0} f_r \hbar^r \right) = - \sum_{r \geq 0} (L_{X_\xi} f_r) \hbar^r.$$

Next, we will define a quantum momentum map that canonically extends $\mathbf{J}$.

Let us consider the application $\widehat{\mathbf{J}} : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ given by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{J}} \left(\xi, \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r \right) = \mathbf{J}(\xi) + \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r$$

In the first place, we notice that it is easy to verify that

$$\widehat{\rho}_c \left(\xi, \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r \right) (\cdot) = \frac{1}{\hbar} [\widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\xi), \cdot]_\star \quad \forall \left(\xi, \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r \right) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Then, $\widehat{\mathbf{J}}$ is a quantum Hamiltonian for the quantum action $\widehat{\rho}_c$.

In order to see that $\widehat{\mathbf{J}}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism we will prove the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1 *If $f \in \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ then $[f, g]_\star = 0$, for all $g \in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$.*

Proof.

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ and $g \in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$. Then $f = \sum_{r \geq 0} a_r \hbar^r$, $g = \sum_{r \geq 0} g_r \hbar^r$ where $a_r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g_r \in C^\infty(M)$ for all $r \geq 0$. Thus,

$$[f, g]_\star = \left[\sum_{r \geq 0} a_r \hbar^r, \sum_{r \geq 0} g_r \hbar^r \right]_\star = \sum_{r \geq 0} \left(\sum_{i+j=r} [a_i, g_j]_\star \right) \hbar^r = 0,$$

where the last equality is fulfilled because $[a, g]_\star = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in C^\infty(M)$. $\triangle$

Proposition 7.2 $\widehat{\mathbf{J}} : \widehat{\mathbf{g}} \longrightarrow (C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \frac{1}{\hbar}[\cdot, \cdot]_\star)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Proof.

By definition of $\widehat{\mathbf{J}}$ and definition of the bracket in $\widehat{\mathbf{g}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{J}} \left(\left[\left(\xi, \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r \right), \left(\eta, \sum_{r \geq 0} y_r \hbar^r \right) \right] \right) &= \widehat{\mathbf{J}}([\xi, \eta], \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\xi, \eta)) \\ &= \mathbf{J}([\xi, \eta]) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\xi, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\hbar} \left[\widehat{\mathbf{J}} \left(\xi, \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r \right), \widehat{\mathbf{J}} \left(\eta, \sum_{r \geq 0} y_r \hbar^r \right) \right]_\star &= \frac{1}{\hbar} \left[\mathbf{J}(\xi) + \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r, \mathbf{J}(\eta) + \sum_{r \geq 0} y_r \hbar^r \right]_\star \\ &= \frac{1}{\hbar} [\mathbf{J}(\xi), \mathbf{J}(\eta)]_\star + \frac{1}{\hbar} \left[\mathbf{J}(\xi), \sum_{r \geq 0} y_r \hbar^r \right]_\star \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\hbar} \left[\sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r, \mathbf{J}(\eta) \right]_\star + \frac{1}{\hbar} \left[\sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r, \sum_{r \geq 0} y_r \hbar^r \right]_\star \\ &= \frac{1}{\hbar} [\mathbf{J}(\xi), \mathbf{J}(\eta)]_\star \end{aligned}$$

This last equality is fulfilled because the three last brackets are equal to zero. Then, by definition of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, it is clear that $\widehat{\mathbf{J}}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. $\triangle$

Thus, the following is a good definition.

Definition 7.3 *The application $\widehat{\mathbf{J}} : \widehat{\mathbf{g}} \longrightarrow (C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \frac{1}{\hbar}[\cdot, \cdot]_\star)$ given by*

$$\widehat{\mathbf{J}} \left(\xi, \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r \right) = \mathbf{J}(\xi) + \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r$$

is a quantum momentum map corresponding to the quantum action $\widehat{\rho}_c$.

$\widehat{\mathbf{J}}$ is called the canonical extended quantum momentum map associated to $\mathbf{J}$.

We want to remark that $\widehat{\mathbf{J}}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism that gives rise a good application on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $C^\infty(M)$ when $\hbar$ tends to zero. The application can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbf{J}}\left(\xi, \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r\right) &= \mathbf{J}(\xi) + \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r \\ &= \mathbf{J}_0(\xi) + \mathbf{J}_+(\xi) + \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r.\end{aligned}$$

So, the classical limit of $\mathbf{J}_0(\xi) + \mathbf{J}_+(\xi) + \sum_{r \geq 0} x_r \hbar^r$ agree with $\widetilde{\mathbf{J}}(\xi, x_0) = \mathbf{J}_0(\xi) + x_0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

The process to take classical limit can be thought as to take quotient by the ideal generated by $\hbar$ denoted by $[[\hbar]]$. Then, we can consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mathbf{J}}} & C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] \\ q_\hbar \downarrow & & q_\hbar \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}/[[\hbar]] & & C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]/[[\hbar]].\end{array}$$

On the other hand, it is clear that $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}/[[\hbar]] \sim \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]/[[\hbar]] \sim C^\infty(M)$.

Thus we can consider that the quantum momentum map $\widehat{\mathbf{J}}$ recovers the Ad^* -equivariant classical momentum map $\widetilde{\mathbf{J}}_0$ at the classical limit.

Remark 7.4 Let us define $\dot{\mathbf{J}} = \widehat{\mathbf{J}}|_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \{0[[\hbar]]\}} \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$. By definition, $\dot{\mathbf{J}}(\xi) = \widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\xi, 0) = \mathbf{J}(\xi)$. Then, is clear that $\dot{\mathbf{J}}$ is not a Lie algebra homomorphism and recovers $\mathbf{J}_0$.

Remark 7.5 It is clear that when anomalous quantum momentum maps $\check{\mathbf{J}}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{J}}$ there exists they agree.

In order to relate the existence of both we analyze the conditions established in Proposition (5.3) and Corollary (6.7).

According to Proposition (5.3), there exists $\dot{\mathbf{J}}$ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{J}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ such that $i_{X_\xi} \Omega = d\mathbf{J}_+(\xi)$. On the other hand, according to Corollary (6.7), there exists $\check{\mathbf{J}}$ if and only if there exists $\widetilde{\mathbf{J}}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ such that

$$i_{\widetilde{X}_{(\xi, a)}} \Omega = d\widetilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi, a)$$

and

$$\Omega\left(\widetilde{X}_{(\xi, a)}, \widetilde{X}_{(\eta, b)}\right) = \left(\delta_{\widetilde{\rho}_c} \widetilde{\mathbf{J}}_+\right)_{((\xi, a), (\eta, b))}.$$

We can see that the existences of $\mathbf{J}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{J}}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ are related in the following way.

Lemma 7.6 *There exists $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ such that $i_{\tilde{X}_{(\xi,a)}} \Omega = d\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi, a)$ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{J}_+ \in \hbar C^1(\mathbf{g}, C^\infty(M))[[\hbar]]$ such that $i_{X_\xi} \Omega = d\mathbf{J}_+(\xi)$.*

Proof.

If there exists $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+$ that satisfies $i_{\tilde{X}_{(\xi,a)}} \Omega = d\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi, a)$, it is clear that $\mathbf{J}_+ : \mathbf{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ defined as $\mathbf{J}_+(\xi) = \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi, 0)$ satisfies that $i_{\tilde{X}_\xi} \Omega = d\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi)$.

Reciprocally, if there exists $\mathbf{J}_+ \in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ such that verifies $i_{\tilde{X}_\xi} \Omega = d\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi)$, it is clear that $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+ : \tilde{\mathbf{g}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ defined as $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi, a) = \mathbf{J}_+(\xi)$ satisfies $i_{\tilde{X}_{(\xi,a)}} \Omega = d\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_+(\xi, a)$. $\triangle$

Remark 7.7 Let us notice that the existence of $\check{\mathbf{J}}$ is more strong than the existence of $\mathbf{J}$.

References

- [1] R. ABRAHAM, J. E. MARSDEN, *Foundations of Mechanics. Second edition.* AddisonWesley (1978)
- [2] H. BURSZTYN, *Momentum Maps, Dual Pairs and Reduction in Deformation Quantization.* Survey paper (2000)
- [3] M. DE WILDE, P. LECOMTE, *Existence of star-products and of formal deformation of Poisson Lie algebra of arbitrary symplectic manifold.* Lett. Math. Phys. 7 (1983), 487-496.
- [4] J. E. MARSDEN, T. S. RATIU, *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry.* Texts in Applied Mathematics, volume 17. Second Edition. SpringerVerlag (1999).
- [5] M.F. MÜLLER-BAHNS, N. NEUMAIER, *Some remarks on $\mathbf{g}$ -invariant Fedosov star products and quantum momentum mappings.* Journal of Geometry and Physics 50 (2004), 257-272.
- [6] XU, P., *Fedosov *-products and quantum momentum maps.* Commun. Math. Phys (1998) 167-197